

nodeGame.org

Stefano Balietti

MZES and Heidelberg

# Projects Evaluation

@balietti @nodegameorg stefanobalietti.com@gmail.com

- 1. (Recommended) Form a group of 3-5 students (size flexible)
- 2. Pick a topic, define a research question
- 3. **Design and implement** in the nodeGame framework:
  - a) an experiment to answer your research question,
  - b) a software extension (e.g., a widget) to solve a concrete problem in online behavioral research.
- 4. Showcase your work in class or run it with other participants
- 5. Submit your work together with a short report on GitHub.com

## **Experiment:**

- 1. Must "**run**" (i.e., no errors)
- Experimental workflow, including instructions, must be suitable for online audience
- 3. Should take care of common issues of online experiments (e.g., validate inputs, waiting room and authorization settings, handle dropouts, etc.)
- 4. Data collected should answer your research question, ruling out alternative explanations

## **Experiment:**

- 1. Must "run" (i.e., no errors)
- Experimental workflow, including instructions, must be suitable for online audience
- 3. Should take care of common issues of online experiments (e.g., validate inputs, waiting room and authorization settings, handle dropouts, etc.)
- 4. Data collected should answer your research question, ruling out alternative explanations
- 5. **Bonus.** Quality of code (properly commenting it, properly naming variables, avoiding duplication, etc.)
- 6. **Bonus.** Originality of research approach

#### **Software Extension:**

- 1. Must "run" (i.e., no errors)
- 2. How well it solves the problem you address (does it generate the right data, does it fail under some circumstances, unambiguous UI, etc.)
- 3. Quality of code (properly commenting it, properly naming variables, avoiding duplication, etc.)
- 4. Implementation choice (re-use of software components, external APIs, etc.)

#### **Software Extension:**

- 1. Must "run" (i.e., no errors)
- 2. How well it solves the problem you address (does it generate the right data, does it fail under some circumstances, unambiguous UI, etc.)
- 3. Quality of code (properly commenting it, properly naming variables, avoiding duplication, etc.)
- 4. Implementation choice (re-use of software components, external APIs, etc.)
- 5. **Bonus.** Quality of UI or API design.

## **Your Report**

- 2-5 pages long
- 2. Defines **research questions** (or implementation goals)
- 3. Highlights previous experimental/theoretical literature (or existing software)
- 4. Explains *clearly* what is **your contribution**
- 5. Discusses unsolved issues in your implementation

## **Your Report**

- 2-5 pages long
- 2. Defines **research questions** (or implementation goals)
- 3. Highlights previous experimental/theoretical literature (or existing software)
- 4. Explains *clearly* what is **your contribution**
- 5. Discusses unsolved issues in your implementation
- 6. **Bonus.** Could contain analysis of any collected experimental data

#### **Individual Contributions**

1. Your report should also specify **individual contributions** to the project (it is fine to say that everybody contributed equally)

#### **Individual Contributions**

- Your report should also specify individual contributions to the project (it is fine to say that everybody contributed equally)
- 2. **Bonus.** Be curious, ask questions and give answers (wrong or correct) in class, online meetings, mailing list, and group chat.

## 21/10/2020: Define Research Project (and pick a group)

- Nail down your hypotheses and research question
- Create a GitHub project repository (one per group) stating your research question with some preliminary code (only the game folder)
- README.md with short summary and references to related literature

## 21/10/2020: Define Research Project (and pick a group)

- Nail down your hypotheses and research question
- Create a GitHub project repository (one per group) stating your research question with some preliminary code (only the game folder)
- README.md with short summary and references to related literature

## 28/10/2020: Mid-term presentation

Update Present current state of work and get feedback

## 21/10/2020: Define Research Project (and pick a group)

- Nail down your hypotheses and research question
- Create a GitHub project repository (one per group) stating your research question with some preliminary code (only the game folder)
- README.md with short summary and references to related literature

## 28/10/2020: Mid-term presentation

Update Present current state of work and get feedback

## 10/01/2021: Final Report

Submit the final report; code automatically fetched from GitHub

## 21/10/2020: Define Research Project (and pick a group)

- Nail down your hypotheses and research question
- Create a GitHub project repository (one per group) stating your research question with some preliminary code (only the game folder)
- README.md with short summary and references to related literature

## 28/10/2020: Mid-term presentation

Update Present current state of work and get feedback

## 10/01/2021: Final Report

Submit the final report; code automatically fetched from GitHub

## 11-25/01/2021: Final Presentation

Proudly present your work and engage in Q&A (doodle to choose the time and date)